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Abstract

Although breastfeeding is associated with many health benefits in children and mothers, and World Health Organization (WHO) recommends exclusive breastfeeding until 6 months of age and continued breastfeeding until 2 years of age, overall breastfeeding rates remain low. Italian rates of exclusive breastfeeding do not differ from international data. The aim of this review is to evaluate evidence of breastfeeding promotion interventions and the remaining problems to achieve them. We found that breastfeeding support is a complex system of interventions, including individual, structural and environmental factors. Many systematic reviews report evidence that breastfeeding support offered to women increases duration and exclusivity of breastfeeding, both in full term healthy newborns and in preterm infants. Political and economic efforts should be made to ensure breastfeeding support to all women in the different settings, assuming it as a collective target.

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations are feeding infants exclusively on breast milk until 6 months of age and continuing to breastfeed as an important part of the infant’s diet until 2 years of age, but overall breastfeeding rates are still below international goals.

Data for exclusive breastfeeding in Italy are reported in Table 1. It is clear that despite high rates of early exclusive breastfeeding, prolonged exclusive breastfeeding rates, as for WHO recommendations, are quite low.

Despite WHO defined in 2008 indicators to study feeding practices in children (early initiation of breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding before 6 months of life, continued breastfeeding at 1 and at 2 years of life), there are few reports from high-income countries to allow a good-quality comparison of data, as recently reported by Victora. Authors found a strong inverse correlation between breastfeeding and gross domestic product per person and between unsatisfactory rates of early initiation and exclusive breastfeeding in all settings (high-income, middle-income and low-income countries), though low-income countries had higher prevalence of breastfeeding at all ages. They found more differences in continued breastfeeding, with poorer people breastfeeding for longer than other groups. Differences of breastfeeding rates in different settings underline the influence of sociocultural factors.

The importance of breastfeeding promotion is related to positive health effects of breastfeeding on children, mothers and society in general.

Among short-term outcomes in children there is a reduction in mortality and morbidity in terms of diarrhea, respiratory infections and otitis media, while there is no clear protection against allergic disorders. Breastfeeding is also associated with better long-term effects: increase of intelligence quotient; reduction of overweight or obesity, type 2 diabetes and of childhood leukemia.

Among mother’s effects, breastfeeding is associated with prolonged amenorrhea, reduced breast and ovarian cancer.

The aim of this study is to review the evidence-based interventions for breastfeeding support and to underline actual problems to achieve it in different settings.

Evidence for breastfeeding promotion interventions

Breastfeeding support is a complex system of interventions, varying from informational, practical, emotional and social support. Settings differ from hospital and community. People involved can be lay or health professionals, trained or not, offering proactive or reactive support, to one or more women and furnished face-to-face, by telephone or social media; contacts can be one or ongoing in months.

Are therefore relevant training and education of people offering breastfeeding support, as provided by WHO and UNICEF (United Nations Children’s fund): the 40-hour breastfeeding counseling and the 5-day infant and young child feeding counseling.

The Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) includes 10
steps to support breastfeeding and is associated to increased breastfeeding rates.\textsuperscript{24-26}

Evidence of effect of breastfeeding support are of paramount importance in programming adequate interventions. In this perspective one of the most important piece of evidence comes from the PROBIT Study, a large cluster randomized controlled trial on breastfeeding promotion, with more of 80% follow-up of mother-infant pairs for 11.5 years.\textsuperscript{27} The PROBIT Study showed that breastfeeding promotion intervention modeled on BFHI increases the duration and exclusivity of breastfeeding and reduces the risk of gastrointestinal infections and atopic eczema in infancy; intervention is also associated to higher intelligence performances at 6.5 years.

Rollins proposes a conceptual model of interventions to improve breastfeeding practices based on breastfeeding determinants, divided in: individual (mother and infant characteristics and relationship), structural (sociocultural and market context) and setting factors (health services, family and community, work conditions).\textsuperscript{28} Interventions associated with increased early initiation and exclusive breastfeeding are antenatal and postnatal counseling, support and lactation management, community-based practices, better workplace availability of lactation rooms and breast milk breaks; effects are greater if interventions are provided in combination.

A recent updated Cochrane review\textsuperscript{29} confirmed the evidence that breastfeeding support offered to women increases both duration and exclusivity of breastfeeding before four to six weeks and before six months of age. Despite the great heterogeneity of the groups, the effect of supportive interventions is robust across settings.

Among the wide range of interventions, greater effect for exclusive breastfeeding is associated with face-to-face support rather than telephone support and with settings of high background breastfeeding initiation rates; also lay support and more contact with scheduled visits (4 to 8) produce increased treatment effects.

Promoting breastfeeding antenatally is appealing but a recent systematic review\textsuperscript{30} fail to show any positive effect of antenatal education on initiation of breastfeeding, proportion of breastfeeding women or exclusive breastfeeding at three or six months. On the other hand, interventions such as health education and counseling given by trained health professionals and peer support were demonstrated to have some efficacy in increasing breastfeeding starting rates, especially in ethnic and social groups with low baseline rates.\textsuperscript{31} The most effective interventions were one-to-one support and the practice of the \textit{rooming-in} (allowing mothers and newborns to stay together all the time long), while surprising early mother-newborn contact was not effective in raising breastfeeding initiation. As this regard it is important to cite other systematic reviews\textsuperscript{32} that clearly showed an effect in promoting sustained exclusive breastfeeding at four and six months and a longer duration of breastfeeding for women who were allowed to have early skin-to-skin contact with their newborns.

Breastfeeding duration is also affected by baby’s father and by other relatives, with reports of longer breastfeeding in women supported by their partners.\textsuperscript{33,34}

### Breastfeeding promotion in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit

If breastfeeding is of paramount importance in full term healthy newborns, it is even more important in high risk newborns, such as low and very low birth weight infants and others newborns in the NICUs. Risks associated with lack of breastfeeding are well known and include higher rates of necrotizing enterocolitis and infections, and lower scores of neurodevelopmental tests.\textsuperscript{35-39} On the other hand, rates of breastfeeding are lower in the NICU setting worldwide.\textsuperscript{6,40} For these reasons promotion of breastfeeding is crucial in the NICUs. Many factors make breastfeeding more difficult in NICU. Maternal stress and disease, separation, delayed enteral and/oral feeding and insufficient health care skills are all critical factors related to low breastfeeding rates among high risk newborns.

Supporting breastfeeding in NICUs is part of a more wide promotion of attachment and is an essential part of a more comprehensive standard of care. Effective interventions were identified in a recent systematic review,\textsuperscript{41} including a family-centered approach to care, skin-to-skin promotion, reduction of stress and pain; other interventions are practices that support parents, promote mother-infants attachment and empower mothers in taking care of their high risk newborns.

Effective and achievable interventions that promote and support breastfeeding in NICU include: kangaroo skin-to-skin contact, simultaneous milk expression from both breasts in the early weeks after birth, peer support in hospital and community, multidisciplinary staff training, Baby Friendly accreditation of the associated maternity hospital. Feeding from a cup as opposed to a bottle increases rates of exclusive breastfeeding at discharge, but applicability of this finding may be limited.

In addition, the interventions are interrelated, with interventions less effective if implemented individually. The greatest improvements are associated with multifaceted interventions, particularly those which include staff training or provide an environment in which mothers are encouraged and supported to breastfeed or express milk while maintaining close contact with their infants. This systematic review supported the evidence of increased breastfeeding rates in neonatal units within a UNICEF Baby Friendly accredited maternity service. It is also congruent with the evidence based for term infants and their mothers, where multifaceted interventions are the most efficacious.\textsuperscript{42} Effective process of care includes support for breast milk feeding and timely initiation of breastfeeding. Kangaroo skin-to-skin contact should be encouraged as soon as infants are

#### Table 1. Exclusive breastfeeding rates in Italy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>At discharge</th>
<th>Exclusive breastfeeding (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauria et al. (2016)\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giovannini et al. (2003)\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giovannini et al. (2003)\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>76.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carletti et al. (2011)\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davanzo et al. (2009)\textsuperscript{6}</td>
<td>31.0 (VLBW); 24.0 (LBWI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VLBW, very low birth weight infant; LBWI, low birth weight infant.
clinically stable and facilities and support provided to facilitate effective expression and storage of breast milk. Furthermore, implementation of a human donor milk bank is demonstrated to be associated with higher rate of breastfeeding, underlying the importance of a culture of breastfeeding support.

Problems with breastfeeding promotion

Disadvantages in terms of health and economic costs relied to low breastfeeding rates are in general underestimated. Early discontinuation of breastfeeding can be associated with maternal problems, like maternal illness, breast pain, discomfort to breastfeeding in public, or with newborn illness.

Health-care workers have a crucial role for exclusive and continued breastfeeding, because they support breastfeeding in the perinatal period and in the following days, so health professional inadequacy in solving breastfeeding problems for lack of knowledge and skills can negatively influence breastfeeding support.

Even more in a NICU contest multidisciplinary staff training is required; maximizing parent–infant contact to achieve countless beneficial effects have other implications, like improving the design of hospital facilities, giving parents’ accommodation, and management of neonatal networks and transport. Surveillance of feeding for infants in NICU and following discharge is needed to inform future policy and practice development. In a research perspective, the role and the impact of public health and policy interventions, the role of family and community staff, and the organization of neonatal services deserve further investigation.

Women’s work and short maternity leave are associated with not breastfeeding or early weaning. In addition, it is growing the industry marketing of breast milk substitutes that is in competition with breastfeeding support programs.

To protect and to continue promoting breastfeeding in all settings (high-income, middle-income and low-income countries) both political and economic support campaigns are necessary.

Conclusions

There is sufficient evidence to state that breastfeeding support is necessary to increase the duration and exclusivity of breastfeeding, ensuring the multiple health advantages to full term and preterm newborns, children, mothers and society in general. Efforts should be made to ensure breastfeeding support to all women in the different settings, first of all assuming it as a collective and not only a maternal goal. As breastfeeding practices are affected by different factors, interventions in promoting breastfeeding include individual, social, political and financial aspects.
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